



Purpose:	Project Leadership Team and Technical Team Combined Meeting		
Day:	Thursday	Date:	April 12, 2012

Location: CDOT Traffic Operations Center, Golden, Trail Ridge Conference Room

# Participants:

## Project Leadership Team

Attendee	Representing	
Ben Acimovic	CDOT R 1	Y
Chuck Attardo	CDOT R 1	
Jim Bemelen	CDOT R 1	Y
Allan Brown	Atkins	Y
Tony DeVito	CDOT	Y
Angie Drumm	CDOT Local	Y
Janet Gerak	CDOT R 1	
Vanessa Henderson	CDOT EPB	Y
Randy Jensen	FHWA	Y

Attendee	Representing	
Gina McAfee	Jacobs	Y
Tim Mauck	Clear Creek Co.	Y
Jack Morgan	Idaho Springs	Y
Pat Noyes	Pat Noyes	Y
Kevin O'Malley	Clear Creek Co.	Y
David Singer	CDOT	Y
Melinda Urban	FHWA	Y
Mary Jo Vobedja	CH2M HILL	Y
Mandy Whorton	CH2M HILL	Y

## Technical Team

Attandas	Danuacantina	
Attendee	Representing	
Ben Acimovic	CDOT R 1	Y
Chuck Attardo	CDOT R 1	
Phyllis Adams	Upper CC Watershed Assn.	
Carol Anderson	EPA	Y
Rick Beck	Clear Creek Co Public Works	Y
Jim Bemelen	CDOT R 1	Y
Rena Brand	USACE	
Tom Breslin	Clear Creek Co.	Y
Allan Brown	Atkins	Y
Steve Cook	DRCOG	Y
Maria D'Andrea	Jefferson Co.	Y
Jim DiLeo	CDPHE	Y
Gary Frey	Colorado Trout Unlimited	

Attandas	Danuarantina	
Attendee	Representing	
Gina McAfee	Jacobs	Y
Bill Macy	Idaho Springs	
Tm Mahoney	Kraemer	Y
Laura Meyer	Jacobs	Y
Alison Michael	USFWS	Y
Marc Morton	CDOT	Y
Cindy Neely	Clear Creek Co.	Y
Taro Nonaka	Kraemer/Obayashi	Y
Ty Petersburg	Colorado Parks & Wildlife	
Amy Pallante	SHPO	
Bob Quinlan	Jacobs	Y
Colleen Roberts	CH2M HILL	Y
Steve Rudy	DRCOG	Y

1

Attendee	Representing	
Janet Gerak	CDOT R 1	
Stephanie Gibson	FHWA	Y
Dan Gibbs	Summit County	
Dave Hattan	FHU	Y
Vanessa Henderson	CDOT EPB	Y
Matthew Hogan	Kraemer	Y
Nicolena Johnson	Clear Creek EMS	
Carol Kruse	USFS	Y
Darrell Liebno	Kraemer/Obayasai	Y
Jason Longsdorf	PB	Y

Attendee	Representing	
Tim Russ	EPA	Y
Jill Schlaefer	CDOT	Y
Kevin Shanks	THK	Y
Terrene Shendleman	CDOT	Y
Tom Schilling	Intermountain	
Tom Schilling	Corporate Affairs	
David Singer	CDOT	Y
Jo Ann Sorensen	Clear Creek Co.	Y
Francesca	Jacobs	Υ
Tordonato		1
Mary Jo Vobejda	CH2M HILL	Y
Mandy Whorton	CH2M HILL	Y

#### **Welcome and Introductions**

Jim Bemelen welcomed the group and self introductions were made.

## **Corridor Project Schedules and Updates**

### Frontage Road

Ben said he is clearing right-of-way and he still plans to go to advertisement on April 19. Jim Bemelen said the Xcel utility work is challenging because the lines are buried in the center of the road, but they are managing to accommodate the cyclists and pedestrians while the work is going on.

#### Other Studies

Jim said the AGS and Regional Connectivity projects have a combined schedule which will be posted on the website.

## **Environmental Assessment – Presentation of Impacts and Mitigation**

Mandy went over the objectives of the presentation (attached). She explained the Twin Tunnels project is the first Tier 2 site specific project. Because the Twin Tunnels has been identified as a "specific highway improvement" it is not required to look at the parameters established for future highway capacity components of the Maximum Program of Improvements.

Mandy said an adaptive mitigation approach will be used which focuses on the components of the Proposed Action that cause impacts and clearly ties the mitigation to an activity and location. Now that the CMGC is on board, there may be new ideas on construction that may minimize the impacts.

Mandy said the EA is evaluating 20 social and environmental resource areas but in consideration of the time limits of the meeting, the presentation today will focus on those resources addressed by the Twin Tunnels Core Values. If there are questions or concerns

about the other resource areas those will be discussed. The EA Tech Memos will contain much more detailed information than is going to be reviewed during this presentation. Mandy turned the presentation over to Colleen Roberts to lead the discussion on Social Resource Impacts and Mitigation followed by Bob Quinlan who led the Natural Resource Impacts and Mitigation portion.

#### **Transportation Impacts & Mitigation**

Colleen said the Proposed Action would reduce average travel time between Georgetown and Floyd Hill by 27 minutes in year 2035 during congested periods, when compared to the No Action. It was clarified that this average travel time savings applies to both the managed lane and general purpose lane options. The managed lane would average about 3 minutes of additional travel time savings over the general purpose lanes in peak periods. Today's average travel time from Georgetown to Floyd Hill is 117 minutes, and on a peak Sunday in 2035, the No Build travel time is 135 minutes.

The managed lane would provide a reliable and sustainable travel option by insuring free flow of traffic through the project area. Even though the addition of a third lane would increase capacity through the tunnels and reduce travel times, congestion upstream would persist because there are only two lanes to the west of the project area.

In 2035, the Proposed Action is projected to decrease crashes by 20% to 35% when compared with the No Action Alternative.

During the detour operation, additional travel delays of 30 minutes to an hour would be expected during peak travel times (Sunday afternoons). Off-peak lane closures to the east and west of the detour may also cause inconvenience to travelers.

Stephanie Gibson said it is very important to be clear on whether the mitigation will be happening during construction or on opening day. Mandy agreed and said the EA will have two tables that will clearly define which are construction related and which are operations related.

Jack Morgan said there are many members of the community who are very concerned about damage to the Idaho Springs Sewer Plant on the west side of the Twin Tunnels from blasting and the potential for raw sewage to spill into Clear Creek. Matt Hogan (CMGC Contractor) said he knows it is an issue and the CMCG will take full responsibility. Allan Brown said this can be a discussion topic at the CMGC meeting in Idaho Springs in May. He asked Jack to get him the names of people to invite to the meeting.

Colleen said the mitigation for operations will include adequate striping, signage and lighting to provide safe travel conditions.

Construction mitigation includes planning lane closures for off-peak periods to reduce traveler inconvenience. Advance signage on I-70 for construction and public notice of delays are also planned. There will be coordination with emergency service providers, Colorado State Patrol and local police.

Jack Morgan inquired if any thought has been given to what would be done if blasting

fractures the west bore, collapsing both tunnels and the highway was closed for an indefinite amount of time. Ben said a project-specific incident management plan will be developed and implemented if needed to address unforeseen events, such as the unlikely failure of the westbound tunnel.

#### Air Quality Impacts & Mitigation

Colleen said from an operational standpoint, the air quality in Clear Creek would remain good with no violations of air quality standards. Future tailpipe emissions would decrease due to improved vehicle technology and would be lower under the Proposed Action than the No Action due to the decreased congestion. Re-entrained dust ( $PM_{10}$ ) will increase proportionate to vehicle volumes but would not exceed standards. CDOT will monitor  $PM_{10}$  during construction, but they are not required to do so.

During construction increased congestion on the highway and Frontage Road and the equipment used could temporarily increase vehicle emissions and affect air quality, but not to a point where any violations of standards would be expected.

Cindy Neely inquired if there are baseline air quality data available for Clear Creek. Jim DiLeo said Clear Creek County is not in a non-attainment area, and the Denver metro non-attainment boundary stops east of the county boundary. Jim said CDPHE has done Air Quality modeling for Clear Creek, and the models indicate air quality standards are unlikely to ever be exceeded in this area because the population is too low and traffic volumes are too low.

Jim DiLeo said CDOT has to obtain a Fugitive Dust permit from the CDPHE for any construction project, and it clearly outlines the parameters for when work would have to stop due to air quality concerns. **Cindy requested that this stated in the EA.** 

Colleen said construction mitigation will include the implementation of a fugitive dust control plan and monitoring of  $PM_{10}$  prior to and during tunnel blasting. If the  $PM_{10}$  concentration alert threshold is exceeded, additional mitigation measures such as additional watering will be implemented. Jim noted that CDOT's commitment to installing monitors and conducting monitoring during tunnel blasting is voluntary and "above and beyond" what is required.

The CMGC will maintain their construction equipment in good working order and there will be a construction worker parking and transportation plan. Jack Morgan said Idaho Springs owns 10 acres west of the tunnel that could be used for parking for a staging area.

Cindy said the PEIS Air Quality mitigation states that  $PM_{2.5}$  will be monitored. Mandy said the PEIS stated  $PM_{2.5}$  monitoring would be considered for Tier 2 projects, depending on the site-specific conditions and proposals. Colleen stated that  $PM_{2.5}$  is not considered an issue for the Twin Tunnels project area during construction;  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions come primarily from diesel engines, and there is not an unusually high percentage of diesel trucks in the area. CDOT has committed to monitoring dust  $(PM_{10})$ , which is considered a greater potential concern due to dust emissions and re-entrained dust from tunnel blasting.

Colleen said operations mitigation will continue the ongoing practice of minimizing road

sanding.

#### **Noise Impacts and Mitigation**

Colleen said existing noise levels throughout the project area exceed Noise Abatement criteria. The Proposed Action will increase noise levels less than 3 dB – which is the point at which a noise increase is perceptible - beyond the existing conditions.

Noise walls are not reasonable or feasible in most locations due to the topography and distance of the receptors. A noise wall at the Scott Lancaster Memorial Bridge is reasonable and feasible under CDOT and FHWA criteria and was approved by Clear Creek County last month.

Noise from blasting operations will continue to be evaluated, and mitigation will likely be required.

#### **Historic Property Impacts and Mitigation**

The Area of Potential Effects (APE) was agreed to by the consulting parties in November. The signage for the managed lane has resulted in the need to go back to the consulting parties to discuss expansion of the APE to the west to include the required signage.

21 resources were recorded in the original APE. Of those, 7 are eligible for or listed on the National Register. At least three sites have been identified as being locally important but do not meet the National Register eligibility criteria. The determination of effects concluded that the Proposed Action has an Adverse Effect on the Twin Tunnels and No Adverse Effect on US 6/US 40. The remaining resources, including locally important resources, are not affected.

Colleen said mitigation will be documented in a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) appending the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement (PA). This will be done in conjunction with the Consulting Parties, who will be invited to sign the MOA along with CDOT and FHWA. Specific mitigation will be detailed in the MOA and may include a variety of different options that were previously brainstormed by the Consulting Parties. CDOT will provide a draft MOA for review and discussion by Consulting Parties and the Colorado State Historic Preservation Office.

The construction staging areas are expected to be in the CDOT right-of-way and will be detailed in the MOA. Mandy explained that the EA would also include mitigation (fencing) to protect historic sites from damage during construction, as well as procedures for unexpected discovery of historic properties (e.g., buried historic rails) during construction.

#### **Visual Impacts and Mitigation**

Colleen said the tunnel is dominated by the natural features of the mountains, and there would be no reduction in visual quality due to the portal and roadway widening. The visual analysis considers the views of drivers, recreational users, and people who have views of the project from other locations. Recreational users in Clear Creek and cyclists and pedestrians on the Scott Lancaster Bridge will experience greater visual effects than motorists because their view duration is longer.

The design of portal face will be developed during the CMGC process and will adhere to the Aesthetic Design Guidelines.

The creek views would be impacted because the widened roadway and retaining walls will reduce the scenic and natural creek setting. The height of the walls depends on the width of the highway. The minimum roadway cross section (50 feet) was used for the visual simulations. **Stephanie suggested** 

showing the maximum roadway cross section (56 feet) in the simulations so the EA shows the maximum level of impact.

Cindy Neely said she understood the retaining walls on I-70 would reflect the aesthetics of the Frontage Road fill walls. Jim Bemelen confirmed they will, but they may be built differently.

PLT members felt the gray concrete barrier shown on top of the retaining wall on I-70 in the simulation should be replaced with a guardrail allowing some transparency. David noted that it would be unlikely that CDOT would install the barrier rather than a guardrail but the simulations are intended to show the worst-case scenario while still following the I-70 Mountain Corridor Aesthetic Guidelines. Carol Kruse suggested showing the guardrail rather than the barrier if CDOT does not intend to build the barrier.

Cindy and JoAnn stated that revegetation along the creek bank would greatly enhance the visual experience for recreational users. Ben noted that CDOT will look at revegetating banks in areas where vegetation will grow. Cindy and JoAnn stated that they were not asking that CDOT fully revegetate rocky areas but that consideration be given to vegetation in locations that might support it.

#### **Recreation Resource Impacts and Mitigation**

Colleen said the Below Box Boating access on CR 314 near Hidden Valley would be permanently removed due to the curve modification on I-70. Eight other access points in the project area would remain. There would be a minor reduction of parking area at Kermitts trailhead and boating access due to the new water quality pond. As noted in Visual Impacts, the retaining walls would create impacts for Clear Creek river recreation users.

Temporary construction impacts will include detours and delays on the Scott Lancaster Bridge due to nearby construction. The game check area parking will be unavailable during use of the detour. There will also be temporary closures of 3 of the 9 river accesses along CR 314 during use of the detour, and periodic closures of Clear Creek and other access points during tunnel blasting, and retaining wall and bridge construction.

Mitigation during construction includes a temporary bike and pedestrian detour on CR 314 west of the Doghouse Rail Bridge. Bikes and pedestrians will have use of a barrier-separated 8-foot path adjacent to the detour west of the bridge.

There will be an anchored concrete barrier separating detour traffic from the Scott Lancaster Bridge. Pre-construction vibration analysis will be done at the bridge and vibration monitoring will be done during construction if needed.

Cindy Neely would like it specifically stated in the EA that CR 314 will be restored to the Phase 1 Frontage Road project condition and the Scott Lancaster Memorial Trail will be restored to the north side of CR 314.

Jack Morgan thanked CDOT for meeting with the cycling community to explain planned mitigation measures. He said he got a lot of positive feedback from them.

Colleen said river closures due to blasting and bridge construction will occur prior to June to avoid the rafting season. From June to Labor Day river closures will be from 8:00 pm to 8:00 am. CDOT will also develop a communication plan with the rafting companies to determine communication protocols for and creek closures during the rafting season. There will also be spotters along the creek to insure construction activities are stopped while boaters pass through the construction zone.

Construction areas near the water line will be fenced to prevent access by recreationalists. Trail and creek users will be kept away from the safety zone during the rock blasting. Matt said he is looking into how to stop them and is considering using a flagger during blasting. David Singer said some measures to stop trail users that are used on the Frontage Road construction this summer may work during construction of the tunnel.

Tim Mauck said Clear Creek County wants to make sure the EA documents state that the future trail under the new Hidden Valley Bridge will not be precluded as a potential future project.

#### **Natural Resource Impacts and Mitigation**

#### Water Resources/Water Quality Impacts and Mitigation

Bob Quinlan said there will be a permanent increase in the impervious surface of 3.1 to 3.6 acres depending on cross section selected. Increased pavement will increase the volume of runoff, sediment, chlorides and trace metals.

There will be no permanent stream channel impacts and it's unlikely that water quality standards will be exceeded in Clear Creek. Bob said based on sampling done from the tunnel coring, historic mine waste or mineralization is not expected, but if there is any found, water quality degradation is anticipated. Cindy Neely said Clear Creek County has an extensive collection of mining maps and she encouraged CDOT to review the maps and include them in the impact assessment.

Bob said construction mitigation will include removing or encapsulating all mineralized rock and implementing sediment control best management practices (BMPs). There will be a storm water management plan and water quality will be monitored before, during and after construction.

Bob said mitigation for operation and winter maintenance will be implemented in a site-specific Sediment Control Action Plan (SCAP). There will be water quality BMPs for ponds and sediment traps. Because this area is noted for hazardous spills two spill containment areas will be added.

#### **Aquatic Resources and Mitigation**

Bob said there are not permanent direct impacts to aquatic resources. There might be temporary impacts to the brown trout spawning areas and other aquatic species habitat due to increased sedimentation that could occur during construction.

Bob said prior to construction Colorado Parks and Wildlife will conduct a brown trout spawning survey this fall to identify spawning locations. Mitigation during construction will include temporary erosion and sediment control structures and monitoring water quality before, during and after construction.

Jim Bemelen said Jim Eussen's separate project to improve fish habitat in Clear Creek is considered an enhancement opportunity. Because the Twin Tunnels project is not expected to impact aquatic resources, the aquatic habitat project is not considered mitigation for the project.

#### **Terrestrial Wildlife Impacts and Mitigation**

Bob stated there will be a permanent loss of habitat along the highway of 5.7 to 6.2 acres, depending on the cross section selected. There is the potential for mortality of small mammals, birds and their predators. Big game migration corridors, winter range and concentration areas will not be permanently impacted.

There will be minimal removal of vegetation to construct a temporary fence along the north side of old US 40 to keep wildlife away from the detour while it is operational. This along with the construction could affect mammal movement and increase animal/vehicle collisions. Construction and blasting activities would temporarily displace wildlife.

Bob stated mitigation will include a nest survey prior to April 1<sup>st</sup>. Any inactive nests found will be removed.

Colorado Parks and Wildlife will place salt blocks on the north side of I-70 to keep sheep away during blasting.

Bob said permanent mitigation will improve the wildlife crossing under the new Hidden Valley bridge and the riparian trees and shrubs removed at the east portal will be replaced in accordance with Senate Bill 40 requirements.

Other permanent mitigation will be the removal of trees west of the west portal to improve driver visibility which will reduce animal/vehicle collisions. The existing barbed/woven wire fence between Clear Creek and the west portal will be replaced with a more wildlife friendly fence.

The concrete box culvert at MP 242 will be improved with a more natural bottom and better access for wildlife.

#### **Questions and Comments**

Allan Brown said he was incorrect in stating at the last meeting there would be new lighting in the project area. Existing lighting will be replaced but there will not be any additional lighting.

Carol Anderson said since this is the first time the group has heard about the impacts and mitigation, will there be an opportunity to provide comments beyond what was discussed today. Mandy Whorton said if you have comments that would make the EA a better document; she would like them within the week. The official impacts and mitigation will be in the EA and stakeholders and the public will have the opportunity to comment when it is released July 9<sup>th</sup>.

Jo Ann Sorensen said she recently received an email that the VMS signs would include information on the travel time to DIA. She thought that during construction it would be a good idea to put travel time information on a VMS sign west of the project. Ben agreed and said it would be added to the cumulative effects.

#### **Future of PLT/TT**

David Singer said the EA life cycle has ended and this is the final meeting for the Technical Team. The final design PLT will convene for a chartering meeting on April 20<sup>th</sup>. At that meeting new goals and objectives will be established and a new Technical Team will be identified. The next PLT meeting for the EA is May 10<sup>th</sup> to discuss the Public Hearing.

David said the tracking tools are in place to ensure all issues are addressed in the EA.

Mary Jo Vobejda said a survey to get feedback about the EA phase could be conducted and would be consistent with the continuous improvement of the CSS process.

Randy Jensen said this is a fast track EA from the FHWA perspective because they usually take 24 months. He thanked everyone for their commitment and participation to make improvements on the corridor.

Kevin O'Malley said this EA has been more difficult for the project team because it is different from others studies that have been done before. He complimented the team on doing it better than it's ever been done before and said the community will be better for the hard work.



# I-70 Twin Tunnels Environmental Assessment Combined Project Leadership and Technical Team Meeting

## Thursday, April 12, 2012

Golden Residency 9:00 am - 12:00 pm

- 1. Welcome and Introductions (Bemelen)
- 2. Corridor Project Schedules and Updates (Bemelen)

  Combined Twin Tunnel and Frontage Road Schedule available on the website

  Frontage Road (Acimovic)
- 3. Environmental Assessment
  Impacts and Mitigation

**Handouts** 

**Summary of Impacts and Mitigations** 

- 4. Next steps for the PLT and the Technical Team (Singer)
- 5. Next PLT Team May 10, 2012 (Bemelen)

www.coloradodot.info/projects/i70twintunnel

Date	Group	Purpose
May 10	PLT or Tech Team	Agenda To Be Determined
June	PLT	CMGC and Design Process Public Hearing
July 17	Public Hearing	

# Presentation